

# Decision deferred.

By TG!  
January 9, 2008

However, the Justices, especially Madame Chief Justice, had read my arguments and understood them. The facts were generally undisputed. I said the law on UPL did not outlaw my actions--or the law needed to be changed. That generally is most unpopular with judges.

Madam Chief Justice did most of the questioning of me, as usual but this time, the all the Justices took an active part. Many questions and much general discussion with me! Very unusual.

Chief Justice Toal apparently got past catching me burglarizing her parent's house after dropping a tree on it forty years ago.

The appellant Judges are generally grim and the appeal hearings very serious. Lawyers are recommended not to tell jokes or use humor. I failed--they made me.

They asked me questions, so I answered as serious as I could. But I failed. I was asked three times what I had done to make the tax collectors so mad at me. I answered.

I told them about how I handled audits, made the agents behave and fell a sleep at one audit. They had all seen my website, so I had to explain my friend **The Great One** going into their offices with a huge, huge, bright red cap and huge sombrero.

I had to explain my classes. The prosecutrix gave them my law course flyer. So I had to explain. Maybe I should not have mentioned the admission certificate to The Patriot Bar Association. However, I did not mention The Patriot Bar Association.

I told no jokes on purpose. I was only trying to quickly and effectively get an idea across. My opening statement ["I am not a troublemaker...or at least not a bad one"] I quickly explained my defense and put the old, grim Justices in a good mood.

We did everything the clerk and prosecution told us not to do. I did not mean to embarrass them but my witnesses and I knew what the procedure was and what the Justices wanted to hear.

The Supremes, especially MCJ, were very clear that my website and education classes were protected by the First Amendment. This was new approach in a criminal case by the very conservative SCSC. The prosecution quickly changed their opinion and agreed. Another first.

At all stages, I was treated fairly and with respect. I may still lose but my arguments were heard and I had no limits on the hearing. My case is clearly tight and even good judges can rule against me. I never disagreed with the UPL law.

The legal system works. I cannot say that for the administrative agencies.

More announcements and articles later.